

From: frank keightley
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2026 14:10
To: Green Hill Solar
Subject: Fw: Greenhill Solar Farm

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Good Afternoon,

I should be grateful if you would take the following comments into consideration.

I write as a resident of the village of Old in Northamptonshire who has always placed equal importance both to the UK's need for Renewable Energy as well as its need for Long-Term Food Security, but I am writing to you again upon this matter in the light of two recent global events : 1. The US / Iran war and its impact upon global energy prices and 2. The dramatic effects of rapidly accelerating climate change upon our own weather this year and therefore upon our agriculture industry and food-supply in future years.

I am aware that there is strong local support for the "Stop Greenhill Solar" campaign and I believe that the two global events cited above, not to mention the expected impact of this coming winter's "El Nino" effect, have strengthened their argument considerably.

But should the inspectorate be minded to allow this application, let me say this : There is no certainty at this time as to the life of the scheme as it stands. It could be shorter, due to, say, technological or economic factors, or possibly longer, than the 60 years quoted. There is also no certainty as to who will eventually be responsible for decommissioning as and when the time comes. (Who knows now if Greenhill will still be in operation at this point ?)

Consequently I believe that it is of the utmost importance for Greenhill to be required to set up a Financial Bond equal in value to the inspectorate's evaluation of the likely total cost of reinstatement of the site *in its entirety* (ie : all 2900 acres or so) back to current agricultural quality grading.

Respectfully,

FRANK KEIGHTLEY.